

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,360		12/12/2003	Ronald D. Riker	RIKE 02916 PTUS	1807
32233	7590	03/09/2006		EXAMINER	
STORM I	L.L.P.		STERLING, AMY JO		
BANK OF	- -		ADTIBUT	DARED MUMEE	
901 MAIN	STREET,	SUITE 7100	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DALLAS,	TX 7520	02	3632		
				DATE MAILED: 03/09/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)						
		10/735,360	RIKER, RONALD	RIKER, RONALD D.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
		Amy J. Sterling	3632						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) Tr Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal ma	•	e merits is					
Disposition of Claims									
· <u> </u>									
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 May 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachmen	t(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTC 	O-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

This is a non-final Office Action for application number 10/735,360 Mailbox post Bracket, filed on 12/12/03. Claims 1-14 are pending. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1/9/06 has been entered.

Claim Objections

Claims 1, 5, 7, 8 and 13 are objected to because of the following informalities:

It is not clear whether the limitation[s] "the mailbox" and "the post" is/are intended to be a positive limitation of the claim or merely an environment for use of the device. If the limitation[s] is/are intended to be a positive limitation of the claim then the claim should recite "a" before the term. If the limitation is intended to be an intended use of the holding bracket, then the imitations of the claims should be changed to incorporate functional language such as "adapted to be" or "for use with". Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,360 Page 3

Art Unit: 3632

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1-6 and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by United States Patent No. 4403730 to Batson.

The patent Batson discloses a bracket for securing a mailbox having a laminar rectangular plate (38) with an upper surface and a lower surface, which has a plurality of lightening holes (48) formed in the plate and the plate which has a pair of long edges and short edges and the upper surface of the plate is generally coextensive with a lower surface of the mailbox (16), a means for securing (40, 42, 46) the mailbox to the plate which includes a flange (40, 42) on each of the long edges, the flanges extending generally perpendicularly and downwardly from the plate, and at least one bolt fastener (See Col. 3 line 43) securing the flange to the mailbox, a one-piece continuous, generally cylindrical sleeve (16) secured to the lower surface of the plate (via element 36) and extending downwardly therefrom and a means (34, 61, 63) for securing the post within the sleeve which includes an aperture (61, 63) formed through the sleeve and the post and a sleeve fastener which is a nut (104) and a bolt (34) extending through the aperture for securing the post within the sleeve.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claim 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent No. 4403730 to Batson and in view of United States Patent No. 3802656 to Virblas.

Art Unit: 3632

Batson teaches the inventive concept including teaching a bracket for securing a mailbox having a laminar rectangular plate (38) with an upper surface and a lower surface, which has a plurality of lightening holes (48) and the plate which has a pair of long edges and the upper surface of the plate is generally coextensive with a lower surface of the mailbox (16), a means for securing (40, 42, 46) the mailbox to the plate which includes a flange (40, 42) on each of the long edges, the flanges extending generally perpendicularly and downwardly from the plate, and at least one bolt fastener (See Col. 3 line 43) securing the flange to the mailbox, a one-piece generally continuous, generally cylindrical sleeve (16) secured to the lower surface of the plate (via element 36) and extending downwardly therefrom and a means (34, 61, 63) for securing the post within the sleeve which includes an aperture (61, 63) formed through the sleeve and the post and a sleeve fastener which is a nut (104) and a bolt (34) extending through the aperture for securing the post within the sleeve.

Batson does not teach that the sleeve is specifically cylindrical.

Virblas teaches a mailbox bracket which has a cylindrical sleeve (22) used for connecting the mailbox bracket to accommodate a cylindrical post. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used a cylindrical sleeve as taught by Virblas, in order to accommodate a cylindrical post.

Please Note: a distinction has been drawn between claims 1, 7 and 12. Claim 1 which recites, "a generally cylindrical sleeve", claim 7 which recites "a generally continuous cylindrical sleeve" and claim 12 which recites a "generally cylindrical continuous...sleeve". The differentiation is in the interpretation of the term "generally"

Page 5 Application/Control Number: 10/735,360

Art Unit: 3632

which is considered to modify the term "sleeve" in claims 1 and 12 and to modify the term "continuous" in claim 7, these modifications which change the scope of the claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Amy J. Sterling at telephone number 571-272-6823. The examiner can normally be reached (M-F 8 a.m.-5:00 p.m.). If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached at 571-272-6788. The fax machine number for the Technology center is 571-273-8300 (formal amendments) or 571-273-6823 (informal amendments). Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center receptionist at 571-272-3600.

Amy J. Sterling

3/3/06